



FARNBOROUGH AERODROME CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

“keeping people informed”

**Meeting Thursday 17th March 2011 at 14:00 hrs
The Park Centre BAE SYSTEMS Farnborough.**

Agenda

- Item 1. Apologies for Absence**
- Item 2. Minutes of meeting held on 4th November 2010**
- Item 3. TAG information report –Brandon O’Reilly to report at meeting –**
- Item 4. TAG Reports** – 1) information report attached
2) complaints by post code attached
3) complaints summary
- Item 5. Quiet Flying Programme Review –report attached**
- Item 6. Report of Special Interests Group – John Gregory- report attached**
- Item 7. Presentation by Marwan Khalek – Business Aviation an insider’s perspective**
- Item 8. Questions from members of the public**
- Item 9 . Matters raised by the Committee not on the agenda –**

Cllr Radley asks : "Given the decision by the Secretaries of State to allow an increase in movements to 50,000 per annum can TAG please explain what steps they are taking to ensure that a new definitive Public Safety Zone (PSZ) will be published in a timely manner? What advice would they give a Local Education Authority that may be planning to expand a school close to the edge of the existing PSZ; will they be advocating that such an authority waits until the new official PSZ is published?"

Geoff Marks asks:-

Visibility of the changes made by NATS to its modelling of Annual Individual Risk contours, which enabled movements to be increased from 28k to 50k per annum without breaching existing contour constraints, was denied on the grounds that they were provided under a commercial arrangement between TAG and NATS.

Two letters from the Chairman to the DfT expressing the FACC's concerns over this lack of visibility failed to gain the DfT's support for its view. The issue has also been raised by the FACC with Rushmoor; the aim being to get Rushmoor's assurance that it would be addressed in the context of the LDF process. Rushmoor's response has been equally unhelpful.

Given that the Appeal Inspector noted in his report that this situation was unsatisfactory, and that the DfT has insisted that the basis of the NATS remodelling of the PSZs for London City Airport is brought into the public domain, should the FACC require the Chairman to write again to the DfT, pointing out its inconsistency and again seek its help in securing full visibility of the remodelling of Farnborough's risk contours?

I wish to be assured that all FACC members have had sight of the relevant correspondence between the Chairman and the DfT and Rushmoor.

Item 10 The next meeting of the Committee will be at 14:00hrs on Wednesday 29th June 2011 at The Park Centre.