



## FARNBOROUGH AERODROME CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

*“keeping people informed”*

**Meeting Thursday 2nd July 2015 at 14:00hrs  
Rushmoor Borough Council Offices**

### **Agenda**

- Item 1. Apologies for Absence**
- Item 2. Minutes of meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> February 2015**
- Item 3. TAG information report –Brandon O’Reilly to report at meeting**
- Item 4. TAG Reports**
  - 1) information report attached
  - 2) complaints by post code attached
  - 3) complaints summary attached
- Item 5. Airspace Change Proposal Update**
- Item 6. Rushmoor Local Plan – update.**
- Item 7. Questions from members of the public**
- Item 8. Matters raised by the Committee not on the agenda –**

#### **James Radley**

“Press reports (cf. Alton Herald) refer to the potential impact of TAG attempting to get 'London Reliever' status. As the impact of this bid, if successful, is allegedly huge, I feel that the FACC should at least have it explained by TAG and the opportunity given for us as a body to discuss it.”

#### **Geoff Marks**

- (1) Would TAG provide its definition of 'Reliever' status and explain how this might operate at Farnborough in practice?
- (2) Is the approval of the ACP a prerequisite of Farnborough being a designated 'Reliever' airport.?
- (3) Can 'Reliever' status be expected to result in a significant change to TAG's 10 year projected mix of aircraft?.
- (4) Rushmoor has recently commissioned a public consultation on the preferred airport policies in its draft revised Local Plan. The consultation closes towards end July. Under the heading 'Local Authority Planning Needs' TAG's submission to Davies Commission says "*without a coordinated and cohesive plan for how to manage the growing business aviation sector, local authorities cannot be expected to anticipate the issues they need to address or how to plan for development*". In the light of this assertion do TAG agree that a consultation on preferred airport policies is premature or, alternatively, does TAG consider that the preferred policies are sufficiently flexible as to not preclude compliance with any future government policies that emerge from Davies Commission recommendations?