



FARNBOROUGH AERODROME CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

Actions from Meeting Held on Thursday 9th February 2023. UPDATE September 2023.

- Action 1:** Action: Members to provide their thoughts on Public access at FACC Meetings.
- Completed – Please see attached.
- Action 2:** For information at this stage, Chris Dorn will establish and provide Hart DC regulations on Public access to the Chamber.
- Completed – Please see attached.
- Action 3:** Jenny Radley to meet Gareth Andrews to discuss whether more detail could be introduced to the Farnborough Airports Reports presented to the FACC.
- Completed
- Action 4:** Les Freer will summarise previous noise commitments and revert with proposed actions plan.
- Will be presented at the next Meeting.
- Action 5:** Members to submit comments, suggestions and proposed text for the application to RBC to establish a vexatious complaints exclusion in FAL planning permission, to him or the Secretary.
- Completed – Circulated separately.
- Action 6:** Members to submit comments and suggestions regarding the position of Vice Chairman to the Secretary.
- Completed – Please see attached.
- Action 7:** Members to submit comments and suggestions on the NEW website.
- Completed. New website now live.
- Action 8:** Action: Richard Ward to respond the Geoff Marks questions.
- There has been a healthy rate of correspondence between RW and GM.



FARNBOROUGH AERODROME CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

Action 1: Action: Members to provide their thoughts on Public Access at FACC Meetings.

[From the Secretary](#)

The FACC has always encouraged public access and attendance of Meetings. This was interrupted by COVID but as restrictions have eased so the level of access has increased.

After consultation with Hart DC it has been agreed that the next meeting will be open to physical and online access of Members and the public alike. This should be seen as a trail as both logistics and security are important and need to be managed to the appropriate level.

Here are the thoughts on this subject from Members – Thanks to those who responded.

[David Munro](#)

Public access. I feel strongly that the public should have access to FACC meetings, either online or in person. They should be allowed to speak and receive replies according to the constitution. Of course, the Chairman has the right to order them to be silent or leave the meeting in the event of any abusive or unreasonable behaviour.

[Jules Crosley](#)

Public access at FACC meetings. I think public should be encouraged to attend and if individuals don't behave appropriately, they should be asked to leave. I think it's unfair for the public in general should be punished for one person, or a small group of people's, behaviour. I also think it's important to encourage public engagement with the FACC and the Airport's operations. Some members of the public wouldn't even know who their 'representative' is - or they may not be happy with how that person represents their views. If an individual, or a group of individuals, disrupt proceedings then they should be banned from future meetings. As we're meeting at Hart at the moment it would seem that this could be managed as the security there is good.

[Geoff Marks](#)

Denying access would send an unfortunate message to the public. Happy to hear from Chris Dorn on how HART DC 'control' public access/participation at its members meetings.

The FACC and Farnborough Airport Ltd have always encouraged public access and attendance of Meetings. This was interrupted by COVID but as restrictions have eased so the level of access has increased.

Action 2: For information at this stage, Chris Dorn will establish and provide Hart DC regulations on public access to the Chamber.

After consultation with Hart DC it has been agreed that the next meeting will be open to physical and online access for Members and the public alike. This should be seen as a trial as both logistics and security are important and need to be managed to the appropriate level.

From Facilities at Hart DC:

Hart DC is prepared to trial a system that allows general public access to the Council Chamber up to a maximum of 25 people. For insurance and health and safety purposes it is important that everyone, including the public, pre-register to attend the meeting. Please send a list of all attendees to the meeting and identify if they are a member of the public or committee member etc, ideally a week before the meeting. Only those who have pre-registered will be allowed to join the meeting.

I will add this to the FACC website and the notice advertisements placed in the local press.

Those members of the public wishing to ask a question and/or attend in person will be allocated admission on a first-come-first-served basis.

Members of the public will be invited to ask questions in accordance with the Constitution.

I am grateful to Chris Dorn and the Facilities Management Team at Hart DC, for their assistance and engagement in this regard, to make this possible.

Action 6: Members to submit comments and suggestions regarding the position of Vice Chairman to the Secretary.

From the Secretary

As mentioned by the Chairman at the last meeting, bringing in a Vice-Chair is to cover the duties of the Chairman if he is not able to attend a meeting for any reason, this has never happened to my knowledge, but more importantly as part of the succession planning process, to allow the successful candidate a period to gain an understanding of the role and issues and thereby to provide continuity as and when the Chairman decides to retire.

It is clear from those comments received; this is seen as a good idea.

We saw potential candidates being suggested by the Members, this has not been the case to date.

Some Members have suggested advertising the role.

Here are the thoughts on this subject from Members – Thanks to those who responded.

David Munro

A VC would be useful and should be selected from amongst existing members of FACC. However, it should not be assumed that the VC should succeed to the Chairmanship - when the Chair becomes vacant, then there should be an open competitive process for selecting the new Chair.

Jules Crosley

I think it's a very good idea to appoint a Vice Chair. As Philip said at our last meeting, he will want to retire at some point and it would be good to have someone who has got to know how the FACC functions.

Geoff Marks

The position should be advertised. Potential fee and expenses being significant to reflect the importance of the role. Meanwhile it might make sense if the Secretary assumed that role.

Action 8: Action: Richard Ward to respond the Geoff Marks questions.

The outcome of the correspondence between Geoff Marks and Richard Ward is:

1. The Section 106 Agreement requires the airport to undertake a safety audit every year and to report on this within the annual Performance Monitoring Report. The modelling undertaken by ERM on behalf of the Airport, in fulfilment of the S106 obligation, is based on the approved DfT methodology documented in NATS Research and Design Report 9636.
2. In the event of a planning application to change the pattern, nature and/or number of business aviation movements at the airport, Policy SP4.4 requires the applicant to submit an independent risk assessment of the implications of the changes for the 1:10,000 and 1:100,000 individual risk contours against the baseline set out in Policy SP4.4 in support of any such proposal.